

UNCLASSIFIED

IHSA 81-021

24 September 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: [redacted]
Deputy Chief, Procurement Division

FROM: [redacted]
Chairman, Source Evaluation Board

SUBJECT: Selection of Contractor [redacted]

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

1. After careful evaluation of proposals submitted by [redacted] in response to [redacted] it is recommended that [redacted] be awarded the contract.

2. As cost was not a significant factor in the evaluation, the recommendation is based primarily on the findings of the Technical and Management Evaluation Committee. Although both proposals were considered to be fully responsive, the Committee determined that:

- a) [redacted] Staffing was superior in terms of the quality of the people and their commitment to the project.
- b) [redacted] was more responsive to the Statement of Work in describing their approach to the tasks, and the technology considerations.
- c) [redacted] was considered to have an edge only in software technology experience.

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

Attachment:

Evaluation Data Package
Evaluation Plan
Initial Evaluation
Individual Work Sheets
Scoring Summary
Final Evaluation
Final Evaluation of Cost
Proposals

UNCLASSIFIED